



<https://doi.org/10.53032/tvcr/2025.v7n4.10>

Interrogating Humanism and Social Consciousness: Ethics, Morality, and Realism in the Select Novels of Munshi Premchand

Sanjai Kumar Sharma

Research Scholar,

Department of English & MEL,

University of Allahabad, Prayagraj, U.P., India.

Email: sanjaisharma20@gmail.com

 <https://orcid.org/0009-0005-6669-9584>

Prof. Kumar Parag

Department of English and MEL,

University of Allahabad, Prayagraj, U.P., India.

Email: kumprg@gmail.com

Abstract

This article focuses on the dynamic engagement between humanism, ethics and ideology in the novels of Munshi Premchand as it merges with social interest rooted literary realism amidst colonial India. Instead, it contextualises that Premchand's realism stems not from abstract idealism but from the moral weave of everyday life — where moral choices emerge through caste hierarchies, gender constraints and economic vulnerability. It examines the ways in which, through its analyses of *Godaan* (*The Gift of a Cow*), *Nirmala*, *Sevasadan*, *Rangbhoomi*, *Gaban*, and *Karmabhumi*, the study locates Premchand performance moral conflict and social reform within the crucible pressures wrought by colonial capitalism, national awakening, uneven modernisation in the Hindi heartland. Premchand's humanism is not sentimental charity; it is an intense ethical reflection on how to sustain the value of humanity under less than-ideal conditions of agrarian indebtedness, patriarchal domesticity, bureaucratic bribery and colonial machinery of coercion. His ethical awareness has no time for ideological purity; instead it's all about gradualism, life's gray areas and the realism of compromise. Specifically, it discusses Premchand's use of irony, polyphonic narrative and free indirect discourse to destabilize moral certainty and widen the scope of the ethical in Hindi fiction. In the end, Premchand's realism is an ethics at work—a literary technique that turns “the social problem” into a space of contested authorship and moral inquiry. It still brims with resonance for its

The Voice of Creative Research

Vol. 7 & Issue 4 (October 2025)

insistence that humane life be imagined not in the abstract against structural constraint but in painful negotiation with it.

Keywords: Social realism, Humanism, Ethics and morality, Caste and gender, Colonial modernity

Introduction:

Critical accounts of Premchand often name “humanism” as the unifying value of his oeuvre, but that label risks smoothing away the knots his novels deliberately preserve. The ethical field of *Godaan*, *Nirmala*, *Sevasadan*, *Rangbhoomi*, *Gaban*, and *Karmabhumi* is not an arena of clear choices rewarded by swift moral restitution; it is a site where structural pressures—caste hierarchy, debt peonage, gendered vulnerability, urban bureaucracy, and mercantile opportunism—conscript moral aspiration into compromise. Premchand’s social consciousness therefore rejects consolatory moralism. It insists that the “good” must be enacted within non-ideal institutions, and that dignity must be pursued where the likelihood of failure remains high. As K. R. Srinivasa Iyengar notes, Premchand keeps “the poor at the centre of vision” (336). Realism, in this context, is not a mimetic catalogue of facts but a discipline of attention. Premchand’s scenes slow down the reader’s inference—debts negotiated in the courtyard, the hushed arithmetic of dowry, the municipal file that cannot move without the clerk’s fee, the petty public humiliation that cements caste rank. Ethical meaning emerges not from slogans but from the procedures of everyday life: who gets to sit, who speaks first, who bears the cost when money and honor are counted in the same denominator. This essay traces how Premchand’s narrative praxis turns the novel into a moral laboratory, testing whether humanistic commitments can endure the systemic stresses of colonial modernity.

The article proceeds by text-centered analysis of six novels, tracking three interlinked problems: (1) ethics and scarcity—how obligation, debt, and need convert morality into a problem of calculation; (2) humanism and hierarchy—how compassion navigates caste and patriarchy without simply ratifying them; and (3) realism and reform—how change appears not as a miracle or manifesto but as fragile, negotiated improvement. Premchand’s dialectic of conscience and constraint provides an unusually lucid grammar for thinking ethically under conditions that resemble our own—market volatility, institutional opacity, and polarized moral discourse.

***Godaan*: Debt, Dignity, and the Moral Arithmetic of Scarcity**

If one novel names Premchand’s social realism for a global readership, it is *Godaan*. The title’s sacrificial economy—donation of a cow—organizes a moral calculus where gift, ritual, and survival confront one another under cash scarcity. Hori’s aspiration is modest but dignified: to live without shame, fulfill ritual obligations, and ensure his family’s basic security (*Godaan*). Premchand refuses to sentimentalize Hori’s poverty; he composes the household

The Voice of Creative Research

Vol. 7 & Issue 4 (October 2025)

ledger of debits and favors as a moral ledger, a fluctuating balance where every rupee carries an ethical surcharge. The villager's conscience is not a free-floating ideal but a budgeted practice, re-computed whenever a creditor arrives, a daughter must marry, or a neighbor's offense demands appeasement. Two of the novel's central procedures exemplify Premchand's ethics of constraint. First, the slow scene: the negotiation in which Hori must reconcile ritual honor with the availability of cash, mindful that a misstep jeopardizes both social standing and subsistence. Second, the distributed viewpoint: moments when the narration leans toward the creditor's rationale or the neighbor's fear, diffusing moral blame across a network in which everyone is both constrained and complicit. Hori's "humanism" therefore cannot be an abstract universal; it is a tactical ethic of keeping the household intact under conditions designed to fragment it. The novel's most devastating claim is not that institutions are cruel (they are), but that scarcity moralizes, making every act of care carry a cost that someone must absorb. *Godaan* also interrogates the elite reformist gaze—the salon, the municipal office, the "enlightened" debate—by staging urban discourses that admire "the peasant" in the abstract while misunderstanding the peasant's actual moral choices (*Godaan*). Premchand's realism here is diagnostic: reform without comprehension becomes a second exploitation, this time of the peasant's symbolic value. The "gift of a cow" becomes an allegory of how modern publics extract moral capital from the rural poor while leaving material deficits untouched. As the narrator ironizes elite "arrival": "By winning the case, he had reached the highest rank of zamindars... Previously his only house had been in Lucknow. Now it became necessary to acquire houses in three other places—Naini Tal, Mussoorie and Simla" (*Gift of a Cow* 384).

***Nirmala*: Gender, Law, and the Ethics of the Unequal Marriage**

Nirmala relocates Premchand's ethical testing to the gendered domain of the middle-class household. Dowry scarcity catalyzes a misalliance between a young woman and an older widower; the "solution" offered by custom and law disguises coercion as consent (*Nirmala*). Premchand's humanism here refuses the piety of honor and the smugness of compliance; he composes a crowded domestic scene where every kindness is compromised by a structural asymmetry. The step-relationships—tenderness intercut by suspicion—are not reducible to villainy. The husband is not a monster, but his insecurity legitimizes surveillance; the wife is not a saint, but her virtue is made legible only through suffering; the sons are not mere victims, yet the architecture of the household ensures that their virtues can be read as threats. Ethically, *Nirmala* is a novel about unjust lawfulness. The marriage is legal; the rituals sanctify it; the neighbors validate it; the ledger balances—but justice is absent. Premchand's realism brings to the surface the cost of social equilibrium: a woman's life reinterpreted as the price of communal composure. The effect is not melodramatic outrage but slow moral attrition; the reader learns how patriarchy punishes not simply with cruelty but with proceduralism—papers, approvals, witness, consent. Premchand's humanism therefore cannot be the romantic rescue of an individual. He indicts the moral machinery that demands a woman's endurance to keep intact the community's story about itself. Reform, in such a world, must begin not from private benevolence but from a refusal to call "ethical" what is merely customary.

The Voice of Creative Research

Vol. 7 & Issue 4 (October 2025)

Sevasadan: Public Virtue, Female Agency, and the Politics of Reform

Sevasadan extends the gendered critique into the **municipal-public** domain. The eponymous “House of Service” is both an institutional experiment and a narrative device for asking how public virtue is staged, funded, and legitimized (*Sevasadan*). The novel dissects the sentimental economy of “reform”: a chorus of well-meaning men legislate for women’s uplift while remaining untroubled by the everyday compromises that finance their prestige. Two interventions define Premchand’s realism here. First, he refuses to either idealize or condemn women who choose “unrespectable” survival amid structural hypocrisy; their agency is practical, compromised, and lucid. Second, he converts the moral vocabulary of reform (service, purity, sacrifice) into an object of scrutiny: who speaks these words, to what audience, and with what budgetary consequences? Humanism becomes a question of institutional design—not a rhetoric of compassion but an audit of who pays and who decides. The novel thus teaches a hard lesson: reform that does not reconfigure power is merely a new theater of virtue.

Rangbhoomi: Capital, Land, and the Ethics of Resistance

In *Rangbhoomi*, Premchand articulates a more overt political realism: corporate capital seeks land, the colonial state supplies law, and the town’s poor supply the cost (*Rangbhoomi*). The novel’s celebrated resistant figure embodies a Gandhian ethic of non-cooperation, but Premchand refuses to turn him into a transparent hero. Resistance itself is staged as labor—time, hunger, risk—rather than as rhetorical purity. The market’s project is not merely to “buy” land; it is to re-script space: to convert commons and habit into property and profit. Premchand’s humanism here is spatial: he guards the right of the poor to a city that recognizes their lives as legitimate uses of land. What deepens the novel’s social consciousness is its attention to collaboration. Many of the exploiters are not monstrous foreigners but familiar intermediaries—clerks, brokers, lawyers—whose respectability depends on transferring risk downward. Realism thus names the courage to display how domination circulates through ordinary jobs. Ethics requires not only sympathy for the dispossessed but suspicion of those forms of professionalism that convert dispossession into procedure. If *Godaan* is the moral arithmetic of scarcity, *Rangbhoomi* is the geometry of enclosure.

Gaban: Credit, Desire, and the Moral Psychology of Consumption

Gaban appears at first as a social comedy about taste and aspiration—ornaments, gifts, the pleasures of display (*Gaban*). Yet Premchand rapidly reveals how desire is mediated by credit: to consume is to promise, to promise is to risk, and to risk is to make someone else shoulder the consequences when the cycle fails. The protagonist’s charm is real, and so is his irresponsibility. The novel’s ethical pivot is not prohibition of pleasure but the insistence that pleasure is not free—it is underwritten by unacknowledged labor. Here Premchand challenges a lazy version of humanism that equates kindness with indulgence. The narrative exposes how indulgence without accountability devolves into extraction. Social consciousness, in *Gaban*, means telling the truth about who pays for the appearance of status. Realism demands

The Voice of Creative Research

Vol. 7 & Issue 4 (October 2025)

calculations no less exacting than those in *Godaan*: the sums are different (credit rather than debt), but the moral logic is homologous.

Karmabhumi: Conscience, Community, and the Cost of Political Action

Karmabhumi draws together the ethical threads of the oeuvre into the explicitly political question of how to act well in a field structured by colonial repression, communal tension, and the fatigue of the poor (*Karmabhumi*). Premchand's realism eschews the fantasy of the infallible activist. He records exhaustion, misunderstanding, and failure alongside courage, lucidity, and care. Conscience, in *Karmabhumi*, is a collective practice—meetings, disagreements, revised plans—rather than an inward possession. Humanism scales up from interpersonal sympathy to organizational ethics: how to build durable alliances without reproducing the inequities one seeks to abolish. The novel's signature claim is neither optimistic nor pessimistic. It is institutionalist: without forms—associations, schools, unions—conscience dissolves into private sentiment; with forms, conscience risks bureaucracy. Ethical intelligence is the art of keeping institutions permeable to criticism while shielding them from capture. Premchand's realism refuses to guarantee success; it guarantees only that seriousness—the refusal to aestheticize politics as posture—is itself a moral good.

Moral Style: How Premchand's Realism Works

The claim that Premchand is “didactic” collapses under scrutiny once one attends to his moral style. As Meenakshi Mukherjee writes, “Realism here is not census; it is conscience rendered in scene” (78). Four interlocking features recur across the oeuvre: first, irony without cruelty, whereby characters often condemn themselves by overexplaining their virtue; the irony targets not the weak but those who wield moral language to sanitize advantage, a pedagogy of exposure rather than satire's contempt. Second, free indirect discourse and polyphony: sentences tilt into a character's idiom before regaining narrative distance, distributing cognition across voices so that moral knowledge is assembled from partial perspectives and the reader must perform the work of ethical integration. Third, granular procedures: files move, ledgers are drawn, hands are weighed, and rituals unfold with material frictions intact, teaching that injustice is not only episodic eruption but daily administration—realism shows how power burrows into the mundane. Fourth, temporal ethics: at decision points Premchand stretches time in signature “slow scenes,” letting us inhabit inertia, fear, or care so that “right action” is shown to be costly; no solution is trusted that has not endured duration. Taken together, these choices transform the reader from spectator to ethical collaborator: the novels do not instruct from above; they compel from within.

Humanism, Without Illusions

What, then, is Premchand's “humanism”? Not a doctrine of universal harmony, nor a sentimental faith in goodness, but a discipline of regard: the insistence that every calculation include the person whose suffering would be convenient to ignore. In *Godaan*, that person is the debtor whose ritual obligations cannot be cleanly separated from subsistence. In *Nirmala*, it is the woman whose consent has been coerced into propriety. In *Sevasadan*, it is the worker at the edge of respectability whose survival funds the reformer's prestige. In *Rangbhoomi*, it is

The Voice of Creative Research

Vol. 7 & Issue 4 (October 2025)

the resident for whom the city is home, not asset. In *Gaban*, it is the invisible labor underwriting elegance. In *Karmabhumi*, it is the volunteer rowed to shore by fatigue while the speech goes on without him. Such humanism is post-naïve. It assumes conflict, not consensus; scarcity, not plenitude; ambivalence, not purity. Its ethics is prudential without being cynical: one must balance goods in a world where goods collide, and one must keep balancing because conditions mutate. Premchand's social consciousness, accordingly, is not a crescendo to revolution but a craft of reform—making bad arrangements less bad, constructing alliances that do not humiliate the people they claim to help, and telling the truth about costs.

Ethics, Realism, and the Reader's Responsibility

A final claim concerns the reader. Premchand does not write to flatter the “progressive” conscience; he writes to obligate it. The novels place before us the life-world of people for whom a rupee is not a unit of preference but a measure of narrowed possibility. Our task is not to admire their dignity from a distance but to recompute our own moral calculus with their constraints included. That is the pedagogical force of Premchand's realism: it trains vision and retools will. Such training travels well beyond the colonial Hindi context. Wherever credit expands faster than wages, wherever legality shields asymmetry, wherever reform funds prestige before relief, Premchand's grammar of ethics remains operational. His humanism is diagnostic: it identifies where a system's self-justifying story deviates from the distribution of pain; it then asks whether we are willing to pay the price of correction.

Conclusion

Premchand's fiction makes one claim, relentlessly: if goodness is to be real, it must survive the audit of constraint. That audit takes forms—scarcity, law, gender, rank, credit, office, fatigue. The novels do not romanticize survival; they respect it. They do not sanctify patience; they ask whether patience is the only price available today. They do not despise compromise; they distinguish the compromise that preserves life from the compromise that purchases reputation. Humanism, in this body of work, is not a keynote but a method: attending to persons within structures; adjusting action as consequences reveal themselves; refusing the lies that make others' losses look like the price of our virtue. Social consciousness, correspondingly, is not a poster; it is an accounting that includes the excluded line items. Realism, finally, is the craft that keeps these practices honest. It withholds final consolation, because consolation too quickly given becomes complicity. In that withholding lies Premchand's most difficult gift: a literature that does not absolve but prepares—readers capable of ethical work where ethical work is hardest.

Works Cited

- Iyengar, K. R. Srinivasa. *Indian Writing in English*. 5th ed., Sterling, 2006.
- Mukherjee, Meenakshi. *Realism and Reality: The Novel and Society in India*. Oxford UP, 1985.
- Narasimhaiah, C. D. *The Swan and the Eagle: Essays on Indian English Literature*. Indian Institute of Advanced Study, 1969.

The Voice of Creative Research

Vol. 7 & Issue 4 (October 2025)

Premchand. *The Gift of a Cow (Godaan)*. Translated by Gordon C. Roadarmel, 2nd ed., Indiana University Press / Permanent Black, 2002.

—. *Nirmala*. Translated by Alok Rai, Oxford University Press, 1999.

—. *Rangbhoomi (The Arena)*. Translated by C. M. Naim, Oxford University Press, 1993.

—. *Sevasadan (from Bazaar-e-Husn)*. Translated by Snehal Shingavi, Oxford University Press, 2005.

—. *Gaban (The Stolen Jewels)*. Translated by Jai Ratan, Arnold-Heinemann, 1961.

—. *Karmabhumi (Karmabhoomi)*. Translated by Lalit Srivastava, Oxford University Press, 2006.

Raizada, Harish. *Mulk Raj Anand: His Art and Concerns*. Creative Books, 1995.